

Fathers and Husbands

Adam Talib

Visual perception depends in large part on contextual information. Our brains naturally and instantaneously fill blind spots in our vision with information gleaned from prior knowledge and supposition. Stories are equally reliant on contextual supplementation—for example, tropes—though they usually strive to disguise their condition. Realism seeks to hide any narrative imperceptibilities under a cloak of the familiar.

In this essay,¹ I discuss a few episodes in Classical Arabic historiography—which includes what are now called semi-fictional or hybrid narratives—that revolve around a trope that has not received much scholarly attention: the offense-causing marriage proposal.² I am aware that by discussing the effects of patriarchal structures that have characterized pre-modern and modern Arab societies, one runs the risk of culturalizing what are in fact political circumstances related to the control of economic, social, religious, and cultural resources.³ The challenge is thus to present plausible interpretations of what narratives intend to communicate despite the absence of comprehensive contextual information. Another challenge is to insist on the historical specificity of episodes that appear to reflect common or universal milestones in human life, especially when certain patterns of courtship behavior appear to have survived into the present day. One of the ways we interpret these apparent holdovers is that they are rituals: stations of social and cultural symbolism, which have become purely abstract for all but the most conservative segments of our societies. In this analysis, the content of notions like virginity, marriage, fidelity, and filial compliance becomes abstracted, or symbolic. Though many

1 I would like to express my sincere thanks to both editors of this volume as well as to Professors David Powers and Dwight Reynolds for their incisive and charitable comments on an earlier draft of this essay. I would like also to thank the anonymous peer reviewer for feedback. A very congenial audience at Harvard discussed with me some aspects of this essay in March 2016 and I thank them for their input.

2 The seminal study of the genre of text being discussed in this article is Leder, *The literary use of the khabar*.

3 Abu Lughod and Mikdashi, *Tradition and the anti-politics machine*; Khader, *The invisible link*.

people may believe that all or some of these notions exist as moral values, we also understand them to be social constructs, categories created and sustained by an ideological system. The formal, inter-familial marriage proposal, which has long been a topos in Middle Eastern film and TV serials, is no less of a cliché for being a real and tenacious social ritual. For example, in contemporary Cairo some couples who eschew values perceived to be traditional (e.g. not having sex or cohabiting before marriage) will find themselves affirming notions of bridal virginity, filial compliance, and the social regulation of female sexuality and reproduction when the man and his family perform the ritual of visiting the woman and her family to propose marriage formally. In Cairene Arabic, it is said of a suitor: “*huwwa rāḥ yitʿaddim*” (“He is going to propose,” compare the Classical Arabic verb *istāda* and the Persian term *khāstīgārī*). The couples and families who perform these rituals today may indeed do so because they believe that they are preserving an ancient social and religious custom—even if they reject the suitability or advisability of arranged marriages in the present day—but, while the custom is no doubt old, pre-modern literary representations of offense-causing marriage proposals suggest that elite patriarchy has long struggled to maintain its prerogative of sexual ownership.⁴

4 Another example is the 2015 Academy Award-nominated Turkish-language film *Mustang*, which begins with a lavish depiction of a mixed-gender group of adolescents playing in shallow water on a sunny day at the Black Sea. For the five orphan sisters who are the film’s protagonists, those moments of play and delight lead their guardians—their grandmother and uncle—to impose new and comprehensive strictures on the girls’ liberty, freedom of movement, and appearance, and to begin marrying them off. Certain tropes in the film—arranged marriage between young and naïve strangers; so-called virginity tests; a sexually abusive and controlling patriarch; Sonay and her boyfriend engaging in anal sex before marriage, etc.—are part and parcel of both fictional and semi-fictional accounts of adolescent female sexuality in patriarchal societies. Many of these tropes are also familiar to me from my own lived experience: My grandparents married people who were more or less strangers; the Egyptian army has infamously subjected female protesters to so-called virginity tests; in a majority of cases, child sexual abuse in the United States is committed by adult males and in 34% of cases by a family member; and anyone who, like me, grew up in an American suburb during the age of abstinence can tell you that benighted adolescents engaging in oral and anal sex in preference to vaginal intercourse is more than simply fodder for pop parody (see Carr, *Sexual assault and the state*; Tabachnik and Klein, *A reasoned approach* 14–16; Garfunkel and Oates, *The loophole*). As for social policing of sexuality, nearly every woman I have ever met has experienced that in one form or other during her life; many of the women I know live with it on a daily basis. Deniz Gamze Ergüven, who co-wrote and directed *Mustang*, has herself said that the scandal in the film that was caused by girls riding on boys’ shoulders during play was inspired by events in her own life (*Canım İstanbul*, Interview with ‘Mustang’ Director Deniz Gamze Ergüven).

A standard example of the offense-causing marriage proposal in the canon of Classical Arabic literature is found in the ‘Udhri romances, which were first recorded in the early Abbasid period.⁵ In Abū l-Faraj al-Iṣbahānī’s (d. 356/967) account of the Majnūn Laylā legend, Laylā’s father felt compelled to reject Majnūn’s proposal after poems expressing Majnūn’s love for his daughter began to circulate:⁶

I was told by al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī, who heard this story from Hārūn ibn Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-Malik who heard it from ‘Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Ibrāhīm who heard it from Hishām ibn Muḥammad ibn Mūsā al-Makkī who heard it from Muḥammad ibn Sa‘īd al-Makhzūmī who heard it from Abū l-Haytham al-‘Uqaylī, who said:

“When the news of Majnūn and Laylā went public and people began to repeat the poems he’d composed about her, Majnūn proposed to marry her, offering a gift of fifty [excellent] red she-camels. At the same time, Ward ibn Muḥammad al-‘Uqaylī proposed to marry her and offered a gift of 10 camels and a camelherder. Her family told them, ‘We will let her choose between the two of you. Whomever she chooses will be her husband.’ Then they went into see her and told her: ‘By God, if you do not choose Ward, we will make an example out of you (*la-numaththilanna biki*).’⁷ Majnūn uttered [the following verses in connection with that episode]:

Layla, if the decision (*khīyār*) of which of us [to marry] is yours,
then consider which of us is best (*khīyār*).

Do not accept a low one instead of me,
or a cheapskate—for the smell of cooking meat is something all
desire.

He will rush into matters that are trivial,
but large strokes of fortune will render him useless.

5 See Jacobi, ‘Udhri.

6 Al-Iṣbahānī [al-Iṣbahānī], *al-Aghānī* ii, 14–15. Compare also the story of the Umayyad-era poet Abū Dahbal al-Jumaḥī (d. after 96/715) (see Pellat, Abū Dahbal al-Djumaḥī). See too Motif T0131.14.4§ “Public declaration of love for girl as obstacle for marriage” in El-Shamy, *Types of folktale*. Many other motifs in the stories discussed in this study share similarities with motifs identified by El-Shamy in his comprehensive motif-spectrum (e.g. T0105.1§, T0133.2, T0053.8§).

7 The verb *maththala bi-* means “to punish a. o. as an example. To mutilate (a sheep)” (Hava, *al-Farāʿid*, s. v. *m-th-l*). I have translated this with the English idiom “make an example out of you” because it manages to convey the same meaning while also sharing the verb’s etymological root.

Being married to him will be like being a spinster,
 enjoying his wealth will be like being flat broke.
 Under duress, [Laylā] decided to marry Ward.”

In a slightly different version of the rejected proposal episode, Majnūn’s family and relatives attempt to intercede with Laylā’s father on his behalf. His reply is both decisive and instructive:⁸

Majnūn’s father and mother, and the men of his tribe, went together to see Laylā’s father to warn him and implore him. “The man is dying,” they told him. “Though he may still be alive, his current state is worse than death as he’s losing his mind. [By doing this to him] you are tormenting his father and his family, so we beg you by God and by kinship, to [allow him to marry your daughter]. For she is not, by God, nobler than he is, nor do you possess wealth the like of his father’s. He let you set the dower (*ḥakkamaka fī l-mahr*) and if you asked him to give you everything he owns, he would do so (*wa-in shi’ta an yakhla’a nafsahū ilayka min mālihi fā’al*).” But her father refused, and he swore by God and upon his marriage that he would never marry her to him. “Should I bring dishonor upon myself and my tribe and do something that no Arab has ever consented to before? Should I brand my daughter with the brand of shame?”

The aftermath of Laylā’s father’s rejection is well known, but it is worth pausing to consider his reaction to Majnūn’s tragic and subhuman death:⁹

Laylā’s tribe visited [Majnūn’s tribe] to pay their respects. Among them was Laylā’s father, who was the most distressed and upset [by Majnūn’s death]. “We never knew it would come to this,” he said. “I was just an Arab man, worried about shame and vile talk like anyone else in my position. So I married her off [to someone else] and she was no longer mine to worry about. If I’d known that he would end up like this, I would never have taken her away from him and I wouldn’t have done what I’d felt I had to do.”

In the *Aghānī* version of the Majnūn Laylā legend, the young man and woman, who had spent their childhood shepherding their families’ respective herds

8 Al-Ṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 21. This incident is narrated by a number of authorities.

9 Al-Ṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 90–1. This incident is narrated by the same person, Abū l-Haytham al-‘Uqaylī.

together, were separated from each other when Laylā reached sexual maturity (*ḥattā kabirā fa-ḥujibat ‘anhu*).¹⁰ Their tragedy is thus not merely a parable of sexual shame, morally suspect love poetry, and madness, but also a nostalgic warning tale about child-rearing and social order. This nostalgia is made explicit in the following couplet by Majnūn, which is cited to support the story of Laylā entering purdah:¹¹

ta‘allaqtu laylā wa-hya dhātu dhu‘ābatin
 wa-lam yabdu li-l-atrābi min thadyihā ḥajmū
 ṣaghīrayni nar‘ā l-bahma yā layta annanā
 ilā l-yawmi lam nakbar wa-lam takbari l-bahmū

When I fell in love with Laylā she wore her hair in forelocks,
 and there was no hint of shape to her breasts.
 We were young; together we grazed our herds. How I wish
 we’d stayed small, we and our beasts.

Parental intervention is key to another tragic story in *al-Aghānī* about another ‘Udhri love pair, Qays and Lubnā. In that story, after Qays and Lubnā make their mutual affection known to each other, Qays asks his father, Dhariḥ, to approach Lubnā’s father on his behalf.¹² His father refuses and tells him to marry one of his paternal uncle’s daughters instead.¹³ Al-Iṣbahānī explains Qays’ father’s refusal matter-of-factly: “Dhariḥ was a very wealthy man, so he didn’t want his son to marry a woman from outside the fold (*gharība*).”¹⁴ Qays attempts to enlist the help of his mother, but finds that she agrees with his father. Undaunted, Qays manages to outmaneuver his parents by seeking the help of al-Ḥusayn ibn ‘Alī; a case of the Islamic tenet of intercession (*shafā‘a*) becoming reified in narrative.¹⁵ When al-Ḥusayn accompanies Qays to see Lubnā’s father, her father tells him that he could never say no to the

10 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 11.

11 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 11. Meter: *Tawīl*.

12 See Powers, *Studies*, Appendix B. Over email, Professor Powers drew my attention to the striking structural parallel of this story and that of Zayd and Zaynab’s marriage.

13 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ix, 182.

14 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ix, 182.

15 One of al-Iṣbahānī’s sources says that Qays and al-Ḥusayn ibn ‘Alī were milk-brothers (al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ix, 181).

Prophet's grandson, but that it would be better if the proposal were to come from Qays' father:¹⁶

We could never disobey you, Son of our Prophet (*yā bna rasūl Allāh*), and we do not prefer any other suitor to this young man, but we would prefer it if his father, Dharīh, were to come to ask us to give our daughter to his son in marriage and indeed for this to happen upon his initiative. We worry that the father's lack of involvement should shame and demean us (*an yakūn 'āran wa-subbatan 'alaynā*).

Here again the bride's family cites shame as the reason for rejecting the marriage proposal. Al-Ḥusayn returns to Qays' father, who is sitting with the other men of his tribe, and insists that he marry Qays to Lubnā; Qays' father cannot bring himself to say no to someone with al-Ḥusayn's special social status. Yet this is an 'Udhri story, like the legend of Majnūn Laylā, so there can be no happy ending: Qays and Lubnā marry but when they fail to reproduce, Qays' parents put sufficient pressure on him that he feels he has no choice but to divorce her.¹⁷

Pawn versus King versus King

These two stories are quite innocent when compared to another legend involving an offense-causing marriage proposal, which triggered the fall of an entire kingdom. The Lakhmid (or Naṣrid) king al-Nu'mān III ibn al-Mundhir, who ruled al-Ḥīra from 580–602 AD, is remembered in both Arabic and Persian poetry as having met an ignominious end.¹⁸ Indeed, we might say that his legend was emblematic of the Arabic, and later Islamicate, cultural theme of the Wheel of Fortune, which inspired many works of literature and can be compared to the *vanitas* genre in Early Modern European visual art. In Khāqānī's (d. 1198) well-known ode on the ruins of Ctesiphon (*al-Madā'in*), the former

16 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ix, 182.

17 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 183–5.

18 The standard work on the history of al-Ḥīra is Toral-Niehoff, *al-Ḥīra*. On Persian Arabs (incl. the Naṣrid or Lakhmid dynasty) and their place in Late Antique history, see Fisher and Wood, *Writing the history*.

capital of the Sasanian empire, he cites al-Nu‘mān as a once mighty sovereign who was laid low by fate (*dōrān* and *taqdīr*):¹⁹

pendār hamān ‘ahd ast az dīde-ye fekrat bīn
 dar selsele-ye dargah, dar kowkabe-ye meydān
 az asb piyādeh shō bar naṭ‘-e zamīn neh rukh
 zīr-e pey-e pīlash bīn shah-māt shode No‘mān
 nay nay ke chū No‘mān bīn pīl afkan-e shāhān-rā
 pīlān-e shab ō rūzash gashte beh pay-e dōrān
 ay bas shah-e pīl afkan kafkandeh be shah-pīlī
 shaṭranjī-ye taqdīrash dar mātgahe ḥermān
 Mast ast zamīn zīrā khōrdast be-jāy-e may
 dar kās-e sar-e Hormoz khūn-e del-e Nōsharvān

Julie Scott Meisami renders these lines as:

Imagine it is that very age, and look, with reflection's eye
 On the chain before the court, the splendid assembly in the field.
 Dismount from your house, and place your face upon the mat of earth
 and see
 How great No‘mān is checkmated beneath its elephants' feet
 Nay, nay, see, like No‘mān, those elephant-felling kings themselves
 Slain by the elephants Night and Day in the winding turns of time.
 How many an elephant-slaying king has been slain with a king-elephant
 By the chess-player of his destiny, mated, deprived of hope.
 The earth is drunk for it has drunken deep—instead of wine—
 From the cup of Hurmuz's skull, the heart's blood of Anūshirvān

Several centuries before Khāqānī composed his poem, Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā (d. 609), the pre-Islamic Arab poet, described al-Nu‘mān's fall from grace in similarly fatalistic terms:²⁰

19 Persian Text and an English translation by Meisami in Sperl and Shackle, *Qasida poetry* ii, 162–9, ll. 22–6.

20 Ahlwardt (ed.), *The divans* 101–2. The question of whether Zuhayr was the author of this poem is still not settled; al-Aṣma‘ī believed that it was attributed to him erroneously and that its true author was Ṣirma ibn Abī Anas al-Anṣārī (fl. 7th c.).

[من الطويل]

- [١٠] أَلَا لَا أَرَى عَلَى الْحَوَادِثِ بَاقِيًا
 وَلَا خَالِدًا إِلَّا الْجِبَالَ الرَّوَاسِيَا
 [١١] وَالْأَسْمَاءَ وَالْبِلَادَ وَرَبَّنَا
 وَأَيَّامَنَا مَعْدُودَةً وَاللَّيَالِيَا
 [١٢] أَلَمْ تَرَ أَنَّ اللَّهَ أَهْلَكَ تَبَعًا
 وَأَهْلَكَ ذَا الْقَرْتَيْنِ مِنْ قَبْلِ مَا تَرَى
 [١٣] وَالْأَسْمَاءَ وَالْبِلَادَ وَرَبَّنَا
 وَأَيَّامَنَا مَعْدُودَةً وَاللَّيَالِيَا
 [١٤] أَلَمْ تَرَ أَنَّ اللَّهَ أَهْلَكَ تَبَعًا
 وَأَهْلَكَ ذَا الْقَرْتَيْنِ مِنْ قَبْلِ مَا تَرَى
 [١٥] أَلَمْ تَرَ لِلنُّعْمَانِ كَانَ بِنَجْوَةٍ
 مِنْ الشَّرِّ لَوْ أَنَّ أَمْرًا كَانَ نَاجِيَا
 [١٦] فَغَيَّرَ عَنْهُ مُلْكَ عِشْرِينَ حِجَّةً
 مِنْ الدَّهْرِ يَوْمٌ وَاحِدٌ كَانَ غَاوِيَا

Can anything withstand time?

What endures but the unshakable mountains?

Or the heavens? Or the land? Or our Lord?

Or our days which are numbered? Or our nights?

Haven't you seen how God wiped out the king of Himyar?

And Luqmān ibn 'Ad and 'Adiyā'?

And Dhū l-Qarnayn before you were born?

And Pharaoh—that ruthless tyrant? And the king of Ethiopia?

I've never known fate to leave the blessings of any blessed man intact.

Didn't you think that al-Nu'mān was safe from harm—if a man can ever be safe?

Then his twenty-year reign was up-ended suddenly by a single—
 duplicitous—day.

History remembers al-Nu'mān III ibn al-Mundhir as the last Lakhmid king of al-Ḥīrah and his and his dynasty's demise as a precursor to the sweeping political change that would soon transform the face of the Late Antique Near East. Al-Nu'mān III was deposed and imprisoned in 602 by Khosrow II Parvêz (r. 590–628), "the last great king of the Sasanian dynasty."²¹ The battle of Dhū Qār that followed only a few years later was a sign of things to come.²² But the story of al-Nu'mān's downfall begins with the execution of another man, the poet 'Adī ibn Zayd, who was the Lakhmid king's secretary, confidant,

21 Howard-Johnston, *Kosrow II*.

22 Landau-Tasserou, *Dū Qār*.

and—in some accounts—son-in-law. This story has recently been analyzed lucidly and perceptively by David Powers, and I will not retell it here, except to explain that ‘Adī’s rivals at court—like those of Thomas Cromwell after him—succeeded in turning the sovereign against him and he came to an unbecoming end after languishing for several years in captivity.²³

‘Adī is avenged by his son, who uses diplomacy and cultural translation to get the better of the Lakhmid king. Al-Nu‘mān regrets having had ‘Adī killed and recognizes that he was wrong to do so. He then encounters ‘Adī’s son, Zayd, to whom he shows favor and to whom he even apologizes for having had his father killed. Then—in large part to assuage his guilt—he sends the young man to the Persian emperor, recommending him as a suitable replacement for his father.²⁴ This expiatory kindness sets off a chain of events that will end with al-Nu‘mān’s downfall as Zayd ibn ‘Adī will use his new influence to take revenge for his father’s death. In a further twist on the trope of the offense-causing marriage proposal, Zayd ibn ‘Adī manages to convince the Sasanian emperor to write to al-Nu‘mān ibn al-Mundhir, requesting that he send one or more suitable unmarried women from his household to the emperor to be married to him.²⁵

23 See Powers, *Demonizing Zenobia*. See also Toral-Niehoff, *al-Hira* 98–9; and Talib, Topoi and topography.

24 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 121. In the version of this story preserved in al-Nuwayrī’s *Nihāyat al-arab* xv, 326, the letter of introduction al-Nu‘mān sent to the Persian emperor reads as follows:

inna ‘Adiyyan kāna mimman kāna u‘īna bihī l-maliku fī naṣīhatihī wa-ra`yihī fa-nqadat muddatuhū wa-nqaṭa`a ajaluhū wa-lam yuṣab bihī aḥadun ashadda min muṣibatī wa-inna l-malika lam yakun li-yafquda rajulan min ‘abīdihī illā ja‘ala llāhu lahū minhu khalafan wa-qad adraka lahū bnun laysa huwa dūnahū wa-qad sarraḥtuhū ilā l-maliki fa-in ra`ā an yaj‘alahū makāna abīhi wa-yasrifa ‘ammahū ilā ‘amalin ākhara fa‘al.

“‘Adī was one of those the emperor turned to for advice and counsel, and now that he has met his fate no one feels the pain of his loss more than I do. But God would not deprive the emperor of one of his servants without providing him a replacement. One of ‘Adī’s sons, who is no less [skilled] than he was, has come of age and so I send him to the emperor forthwith so that the emperor may—if he so chooses—install him in his father’s [former] position and move his uncle into another position.”

(Note the parallel construction between the phrase “*illā ja‘ala llāhu lahū minhu khalafan*” in the letter and the well known *ḥadīth*: “*man lazima l-istighfāra ja‘ala llāhu lahū min kullī hammin farajā*”). It is no coincidence that ‘Adī was himself recommended to the Persian emperor for service after his own father’s death (al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 101).

25 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 122. The version of the story of al-Nu‘mān’s downfall that includes the offense-giving marriage proposal was transmitted by Abū ‘Ubaydah Ma‘mar ibn al-Muthannā (d. 209/824) (see Landau-Tasseron, *Dū Qār*).

وكانت لملوك العجم صفة من النساء مكتوبة عندهم فكانوا يبحثون في تلك الأرضين بتلك الصفة فإذا وجدت حملت إلى الملك غير أنهم لم يكونوا يطلبونها في أرض العرب ولا يظنونها عندهم ثم إنه بدا للملك في طلب تلك الصفة وأمر فكتب بها إلى النواحي ودخل إليه زيد بن عدي وهو في ذلك القول فخطبه فيما دخل إليه فيه ثم قال «إني رأيت الملك قد كتب في نسوة يطلبن له وقرأت الصفة وقد كنت بآل المنذر عارفاً وعند عبدك التمان من بناته وأخواته وبنات عمه وأهله أكثر من عشرين امرأة على هذه الصفة» قال «فاكتب فيهن» قال «أيها الملك إن شر شيء في العرب وفي التمان خاصة أنهم يتكرمون زعموا في أنفسهم عن العجم فأنا أكره أن يعيبن عن تبعث إليه أو يعرض عليه غيرهن وإن قدمت أنا عليه لم يقدر على ذلك فابعثني وابعث معي رجلاً من ثقافتك يفهم العربية حتى أبلغ ما تحب»

The emperors of Persia kept a description of the ideal woman in writing, which they would send out to the various territories and if a woman fitting this description were found, she would be taken to the emperor. They did not, however, go looking for this type of woman in the lands of the Arabs for they did not expect to find her there. One day, it occurred to the emperor that it was time to send out a call for this type of woman so he gave the order and the description was duly sent to the neighboring territories. Zayd ibn 'Adi went in to see the emperor when he was in the middle of giving that order and after telling him what it was he'd come to tell him, he said "I see that the emperor has sent out a request for women. I've read the description of the ideal woman [that was sent] and as I'm well acquainted with the descendants of al-Mundhir [I can tell you] that your servant al-Nu'mān has more than twenty women fitting this description among his daughters, sisters, paternal cousins, and other relatives. "In that case, write to him about it," the emperor replied. "My lord, the worst thing about Arabs—especially al-Nu'mān—is the pride they take in being, so they say, superior to non-Arabs. I wouldn't like to see him hide these women from your emissary or indeed show him other women. If I were to go, he wouldn't be able to do that, so send me and an emissary whom you trust who speaks Arabic so that we can fulfill your desire."

Zayd ibn ‘Adī succeeded in laying his trap by deploying two strategies, though it is unclear whether he considered these strategies to be outright deceptions, half-truths, or convenient truths. His first stratagem was to whet the emperor’s sexual appetite by claiming that women fitting his criteria for the ideal royal bride could be found in al-Nu‘mān’s household. Having dangled that alluring prospect in front of the emperor’s eyes, his second stratagem was to snatch it away by claiming that an Arab noble would never deign to marry his female relatives to a non-Arab (exogamy). This story is also related in the 10th-century chronicles of al-Ṭabarī (d. 311/932) and al-Mas‘ūdī (d. 345/956), but in Abū ‘Alī Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad Bal‘amī’s (d. 363/974) chronicle—a purported translation of al-Ṭabarī’s into Persian—Zayd’s first claim is presented as an outright lie:²⁶

پس زید بن عدی مرکسری را گفت من در جهان کس ندانم و ندیدم بدین صفت مگر دختر نمان بن منذر نام او حدیقه پارسى بستان باشد و روی آن دختر چون بستانی است و او دانست که دختر بدین صفت نیست ولیکن او را یقین بود که کسری هرگز آن دختر را نبیند که او دروغ زن شود و هرگز نمان آن دختر را بزنی بکسری ندهد که عرب هیچ دختر هرگز به عجم ندهد پس کسری را دل به دختر نمان میل کرد و زید بن عدی را گفت نامه بنویس به نمان تا آن دختر را با خادمان سوی من فرستد پس خادم را گفت چون سوی نمان روی نامه بدو ده و تو به روم روتا تو باز آیی او برگ دختر ساخته باشد و تو او را با خویشتن بیاوری پس زید مرکسری را گفت: اینچنین کنیزک در روم بسیار است و اگر تو دختر نمان را نخواهی روا باشد که عرب مردمانی بی ادب اند و دختر را به عجم ندهند [...].

Zayd ibn ‘Adī told the emperor that he did not know, nor had he ever seen, a woman who fit this description except the daughter of al-Nu‘mān ibn Mundhir, whose name was Ḥadiqah (“Garden”) and whose face was like a garden. He knew that the girl did not truly fit the description but he

26 Bal‘amī, *Tārīkh* ii, 1111–12. On Bal‘amī’s chronicle more generally, see Meisami, *Persian Historiography*. Other versions of this story include al-Ṭabarī, *History* v, 351–8 (see also for other accounts of al-Nu‘mān’s downfall) and al-Mas‘ūdī, *Murūj* ii, 225–7.

was certain that the emperor would never see her and thus would never know that [Zayd] had lied. [He knew] that al-Nu'mān would never marry the girl to the emperor because Arabs never gave their daughters to non-Arabs. [Having heard her described,] the emperor fell for al-Nu'mān's daughter so he said to Zayd ibn 'Adī, "Write to al-Nu'mān telling him to send the girl to me in the company of my servants." He then told one of his servants, "Since you're going in al-Nu'mān's direction, give this letter to him, and you, [Zayd,] go to Byzantine territory (*Rūm*) and by the time you return, they will have prepared the girl's trousseau and you can bring her here yourself." Zayd said to the emperor, "There are lots of girls like this among the Byzantines. If you don't want to marry al-Nu'mān's daughter, that's no bad thing because the Arabs are an uncouth people and they don't marry their women to non-Arabs."

Unfortunately for al-Nu'mān and his dynasty, the story unfolds exactly as Zayd had hoped it would. He travels to al-Ḥīra at the emperor's bidding to deliver the imperial bridal specifications and al-Nu'mān's response, while diplomatic, is true to the stereotype of Arab ethnic chauvinism and male sexual possessiveness on which Zayd's machinations depended. In an interesting twist on Zayd and his deceased father's roles as trusted translator-secretaries at court, Zayd seals al-Nu'mān's fate by deliberately mistranslating his answer:²⁷

فلمَّا دخل عليه أعظم الملك وقال «إِنَّه قد احتاج إلى نساء لنفسه ووُلده وأهل بيته وأراد كرامتك بصهره فبعث إليك» فقال «ما هؤلاء النِّسوة» فقال «هذه صفتهنَّ قد جئنا بها» وكانت الصِّفة أن المنذر الأكبر أهدى إلى أنوشروان جاريةً كان أصابها إذ أغار على الحارث الأكبر بن أبي شمر الغَسَّاني فكتب إلى أنوشروان بصفتها [...] فقبلها أنوشروان وأمر بإثبات هذه الصِّفة في دواوينه فلم يزالوا يتوارثونها حتَّى أفضى ذلك كسرى بن هرمز فقرأ زيد هذه الصِّفة على النُّعمان فشَقَّت عليه وقال لزيد والرَّسول يسمع «أما في مها السَّواد وعين فارس ما يبلغ به كسرى حاجته» فقال الرَّسول لزيد بالفارسية «ما المها والعين» فقال له بالفارسية «كاوان» أي

27 Al-Iṣbahānī, *al-Aghānī* ii, 122–4.

الْبَقْرَ فَأَمْسَكَ الرَّسُولَ وَقَالَ زَيْدٌ لِلتُّمَّانِ «إِنَّمَا أَرَادَ الْمَلِكُ كِرَامَتَكَ وَلَوْ عَلِمَ أَنَّ هَذَا يَشُقُّ عَلَيْكَ لَمْ يَكْتُبْ إِلَيْكَ بِهِ» فَأَنْزَلَهُمَا يَوْمَئِذٍ عِنْدَهُ ثُمَّ كَتَبَ إِلَى كِسْرَى «إِنَّ الَّذِي طَلَبَ الْمَلِكُ لَيْسَ عِنْدِي»

When Zayd approached the king, he exalted him and then said, “[The emperor of Persia] seeks women for himself and his sons and other male relatives, and he would like to do you the honor of marrying into your family. That’s why he sent me to you.” “What women [does he seek]?” [al-Nu‘mān] asked. “We’ve brought a copy of the desired characteristics,” [Zayd] replied. The [story behind this] description is that al-Mundhir the Elder sent Anūshirwān [Khosrow I] a description of an enslaved woman whom he had taken captive during a raid against the Ghassanid al-Ḥārith the Elder, son of Abū Shamir, and whom he had given to Anūshirwān as a gift. [...] Anūshirwān accepted her and ordered that the description be recorded in his archives. [The emperors of Persia] passed the description down the generations and that was how it came into the possession of Kisrā ibn Hurmuz. Zayd read the description out to al-Nu‘mān, who was troubled greatly by it. “Can the emperor not find what he seeks among the wild cows (*mahā*) of Lower Mesopotamia and the wide-eyed ones (*īn*) of Persia?”, al-Nu‘mān asked Zayd as the emperor’s emissary listened. “What do *mahā* and *īn* mean?” the emissary asked Zayd in Persian. “*Gāvān*,” he answered, that is “Cows.” The emissary said nothing. “The emperor wanted to do you the honor of joining your families in matrimony,” Zayd explained to al-Nu‘mān, “but if he’d known that it would trouble you so, he would never have brought the issue up.” [Al-Nu‘mān] hosted them for a couple of days and then sent his reply to Kisrā: “I do not have what the emperor seeks.”

Zayd was doing his lord’s bidding by traveling to arrange a royal marriage—like Tristan did for King Mark—but this bout of service also enabled to him to lay a trap for the man who was responsible for his father’s death. In his version of events, Bal‘amī is even more concerned with exonerating al-Nu‘mān and implicating Zayd ibn ‘Adī, the perfidious translator:²⁸

28 Bal‘amī, *Tārīkh* ii, 113–14. The figure of the perfidious translator calls to mind Fawwāz Ḥaddād’s 2008 novel, *al-Mutarjīm al-khā’in*.

نعمان جواب داد که دختران عرب سیاه روی باشند و بی ادب و خدمت ملوک را نشایند و در جواب نامه الطاف نوشت و خصی را گفت: «ملک را بگویی که این دختر را نه چنان یافتم که شایسته ملک بود» و اندر نامه نوشت: «أَنَّ فِي مَهَا الْعِرَاقِ لِمَنْدُوحَةَ الْمَلِكِ عَنِ سَوَادِ أَهْلِ الْعَرَبِ» و این سخنی لطیف و نیکوست و لیکن زید بترجمه کردن زشت گردانید از بهر آنکه مها بتازی گاوکوهی باشد و نیز گویند که اندر جهان از مردم و چهار پای هیچ [چیز] را چشم از چشم گاوکوهی نیکوتر نباشد و عرب زنان گاو چشم را مها گویند [...]

و معنی سخنان نعمان آن باشد که: ملک را به عراق اندر چندان فراخ چشمان و سیاه چشمان هستند که او را بسیاهان عرب حاجت نیست زید این معنی را بترجمه برگردانید و مها ماده گاوان باشند و سودان مهتران و چنان باز نمود که ایدون همیگوید که ماده گاوان عجم ملک را چندان هستند که مهترزادگان عرب او را بکار نیاید

Al-Nu'mān replied that the daughters of the Arabs are wicked (lit. black-faced) and uncouth and that they are not suited to serving kings. He wrote a polite reply to the letter and he told the eunuch, "Be sure to tell the emperor that you didn't think the girl was a suitable royal bride." In his reply, he wrote: "The emperor can have his pick of the cow[eyed women] of Iraq rather than take a swarthy Arab bride." This is a polite and eloquent expression, but when Zayd translated it, he made it sound repugnant. In Arabic, *mahā* means mountain cow and it is said that out of all the people on earth and all the four-legged animals, nothing has prettier eyes than a mountain cow so Arabs refer to cow-eyed women as *mahā*. [...]

The meaning of al-Nu'mān's reply was that there are so many wide-eyed and dark-eyed women in Iraq that the emperor has no need [of marrying] swarthy Arab women. Zayd translated *mahā* as cow and swarthy (*sūdān*) as lords, and with his explanation thus made it seem that [al-Nu'mān] had said: "The emperor has so many Persian heifers that he does not to be supplied with the Arabs' high-born daughters."

In all versions of the story, Zayd's plan succeeds and the Persian emperor is outraged by al-Nu'mān's impertinent-sounding response. This incident, engineered by Zayd ibn 'Adī who sought to avenge his own father's death, eventually leads to al-Nu'mān's death (by elephant stomping, in some versions) after he failed to enlist the support of his Arab allies, presaging the fall of his dynasty and of the Sasanian empire a few years later. In one version of the story preserved in Ibn Dāwūd al-Iṣbahānī's (d. 297/909) *Kitāb al-Zahrah*, al-Nu'mān's response is markedly defiant and addresses the issue of reciprocity directly as if appealing to the Persian emperor's own feelings of sexual possessiveness:²⁹

فكتب إليه فكتب النعمن: أيدع الملك بنات عمه اللاتي كأنهنّ المها يخطب
إليّ

And so the emperor wrote to him and al-Nu'mān sent his reply: "Would the emperor allow me to marry one of his cousins, who are as beautiful as wild-cows?"

The Persian emperor never has the chance to understand the issue from al-Nu'mān's perspective, however, because Zayd ibn 'Adī—as in the other versions—uses his position as court interpreter to twist al-Nu'mān's words:³⁰

فقال كسرى لزيد: ما يقول النعمن فقال: يقول على الملك بينات عمه
اللاتي يتشبهن بالبقر وأوهمه أنّ هذا على جهة العيب والنقيصة

"What does al-Nu'mān say?" the emperor asked Zayd.

"He says the emperor should marry one of his heifer-looking cousins instead," Zayd answered, leading the emperor to believe that al-Nu'mān had intended his comparison to be disparaging.

In this version of the story, al-Nu'mān's attempt to appeal to the Persian emperor's sense of *ghayrah* (jealousy or sexual possessiveness) is thwarted by Zayd ibn 'Adī; that same emotion is at the root of what Laylā's father refers to when he speaks of branding his daughter with the "brand of shame" (*mīsam al-faḍiḥa*). Indeed, in most versions of the story of al-Nu'mān's downfall, the

29 Ibn Dāwūd, *Kitāb az-Zahrah* 59.

30 Ibn Dāwūd, *Kitāb az-Zahrah* 59.

villain is clearly perfidious Zayd, though his malice is modulated by his righteous vengeance. The Persian emperor is depicted as behaving imprudently—a grave sin among kings—having allowed Zayd to manipulate him through sexual temptation, but what of his lack of empathy? Are we to understand that the intended audiences of these semi-fictional narratives would have found fault with the Persian emperor not only for his lack of forbearance (*ḥilm*), but also for his inability to commiserate with al-Nu‘mān’s feelings of sexual possessiveness? Would they have understood al-Nu‘mān’s behavior in similar terms to those Laylā’s father used to justify his own?

Certainly sexual possessiveness was a masculine value that Abbasid society both respected and mistrusted as a number of ambiguous examples demonstrate. In his account of the Kharijite rebellions, al-Ṭabarī records that when the Umayyad commander ‘Abdallāh ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz’s wife was captured by the Azāriqa and put up for auction as war booty “[o]ne of her kinsmen, a Kharijite leader named Abū I-Ḥadīd al-Shannī, feeling that his honor was at stake [...] beheaded [the woman].”³¹ When he later encounters the woman’s family, they tell him “By God, we do not know whether we should praise you or blame you.”³² In a far more famous example, the fall of the powerful Barmakid house is associated in Abbasid historiography with the story of the caliph Hārūn al-Rashīd’s ludicrous attempt to police the chastity of his half-sister ‘Abbāsah bint al-Mahdī and his adviser Ja‘far al-Barmakī, a pair of adults whom he married solely so that they could all spend time together.³³ Again one’s own lived experience interrupts, and perhaps distorts, attempts at analysis: sexual possessiveness as a laudable, and natural, characteristic of masculinity is one I know well. “*Bī-ghayrat*” (“lacking in sexual jealousy”) is an insult in Persian; the same condition is also known as “*bī-nāmūsī*” (compare Ottoman Turkish *namussuzluk*). Indeed the trope of the sexually possessive and jealous Arab or Middle Eastern or Muslim man, which is well known and popular today, is an essential condition for the narrative logic of these offense-causing marriage proposals. It will not have escaped the reader’s attention that these are stories of men taking offense at marriage proposals made by other men, taking offense at the emasculation or sexual dominance implied in such proposals;

31 Al-Ṭabarī, *History* xxi, 220. NB: I have modified the text of the quotation in accordance with the transliteration system used here.

32 Al-Ṭabarī, *History* xxi, 220.

33 Much has been written about this episode in Abbasid history. See, *inter alia*, al-Ṭabarī, *History* xxx, 214–29; Sourdel, *Le vizirat* i, 156–81; Meisami, Mas‘ūdī on love; Kruk, A Barmecide feast; Hamori, Going down in style.

the actual or notional reactions of the women whom these proposals concern are irrelevant to the plot.

The analysis of this recurrent narrative trope is not particularly knotty if we accept that sexual possessiveness is a standard and universal masculine characteristic, but that is reductive and unsatisfactory for obvious reasons. To illustrate why this is, let us consider two unrelated and disparate cases that suggest the unacknowledged influence this trope has had on contemporary historiography. The first example comes from the New Kingdom of Ancient Egypt; the second from a twenty-first-century reconstruction of the medieval Mediterranean.

Example One

Furthermore you, my brother, when you wrote to me about not giving a daughter when I wrote to you for a daughter for marriage, saying “From old a daughter of the king of Egypt has never been given to anyone,” why has one never been given? You are a king; you can do whatever you want. If you were to give a daughter who could say anything?³⁴

This probing response is preserved in a fragmentary letter, which is part of the collection known as the El-Amarna Correspondence. This collection of 349 Akkadian letters written in Cuneiform comes from Tell el-Amarna in Middle Egypt, the capital established by Akhenaton (or Amenhotep IV), and includes correspondence addressed to both Akhenaton (r. 1352–1336 bc) and his father Amenhotep III (r. 1390–1352 bc). What is most interesting about this extract for our purposes is that—as in the example of al-Nu‘mān and the Persian emperor—it shows that the issue of elite marriage is especially sensitive for the family of the bride. In this case, an unnamed vassal of the Egyptian pharaoh is disturbed by the suggestion that the Egyptian royal family is somehow unique in not allowing its female members to be married to foreign royal houses. He attempts—one assumes unsuccessfully—to appeal to the pharaoh’s pride, juxtaposing royal protocol with ultimate pharaonic authority, and indeed goes on to threaten not to send his own daughter to be married to the pharaoh unless he receives the quantity of gold, which the pharaoh agreed to pay him in exchange for undertaking some unspecified task. Marriage alliances as well as the Egyptian royal house’s lack of reciprocity are a concern in many of the El-Amarna letters (e.g. EA 1–4, 11, 14, 19–22, 24, 27, 29,

34 Rainey, *The El-Amarna correspondence* i, 73 (EA4, ll. 4–9).

31–2, 41). According to Anson F. Rainey, the letters concerning royal intermarriage are part of two clusters dating from the reign of Amenhotep III that were sent between Babylon and Egypt and Mittani and Egypt respectively.³⁵ EA4 is believed to have been sent by the Babylonian king Kadashman-Enlil (r. c. 1375–1360 bc) to the pharaoh Amenhotep III.³⁶ Christer Jönsson suggests that “[t]he [Babylonian] king probably knew that his request for Pharaoh’s daughter would be refused [...]” but “[b]y reminding Pharaoh of his failure to maintain the customary reciprocity, he hoped to increase the compensation for offering his daughter in marriage.”³⁷ This game-theory informed analysis is credible, and it highlights the economic and political considerations that attend all questions of royal intermarriage. In fact, some historians of Ancient Egypt use the Egyptian royal family’s projection of patriarchal sexual possessiveness as a proxy for Egypt’s relative economic and political strength over time:

The marriage of a royal princess (perhaps a daughter of Siamun) to Solomon of Israel is a striking testimony to the reduced prestige of Egypt’s rulers on the world stage. At the height of the New Kingdom, pharaohs regularly took to wife the daughters of Near Eastern princes, but refused to permit their own daughters to be married off to foreign rulers.³⁸

This interpretation would also fit the well known case of the marriage of Tughril Beg (d. 455/1063), the founder of the Seljuq dynasty, to the daughter of the Abbasid Caliph al-Qā’im bi-Amr Allāh (d. 467/1073).³⁹ Nevertheless, this view of social mores supposes that such values can only be valorized from a position of strength—in this case economic, political, and military—and that they will inevitably give way when those who uphold them find themselves weakened. By this logic, al-Nu’mān should never have hesitated to accede to the Persian emperor’s request, let alone deny it. Even if we consider the story of al-Nu’mān’s downfall to be a parable of Arab ethnic dignity, the behavior of al-Nu’mān’s erstwhile Arab allies is hardly inspiring. Indeed, one might get

35 Rainey, *The El-Amarna correspondence* i, 16–17; ii, 1327–8.

36 Rainey, *The El-Amarna correspondence* ii, 1327–8.

37 Jönsson, *Diplomatic signaling* 198.

38 Taylor, *The third intermediate period* 327. In her commentary on EA4, the El Amarna letter cited above, Zipora Cochavi-Rainey writes that “The text is important since it documents the Egyptian policy of never giving a royal princess in a political marriage to a foreign power.” Rainey, *The El-Amarna correspondence* ii, 1328. On Solomon’s Egyptian wife, see Cohen, *Solomon and the daughter of Pharaoh*.

39 See Ibn al-Athīr, *al-Kāmil fi l-tārīkh* x, 20–6; Richards (trans.), *The annals* 142. See also Makdisi, *Marriage of Tughril Beg*.

the impression from the story that Arabs, while sexually possessive to a nearly suicidal degree, cannot be counted on to come to the aid of their allies in difficult circumstances. In the context of the ethnically plural and socially frenetic Abbasid cities in which these versions of the story were recorded, it is no less likely that elite audiences, while deploring Zayd's treachery, would have scoffed at al-Nu'mān and the atavistic world-view he represented. Nevertheless the idea that certain groups prefer sexual possessiveness to economic and political gain is a tenacious one.⁴⁰

Example Two

Players of the computer video game *Medieval: Total War*, set in the period 1087–1453, vie for domination as various European and Mediterranean kingdoms, which are classified along religious lines as Catholic, Orthodox, or Muslim. In addition to warfare, players use diplomacy and espionage to further their political goals. One tool at players' disposal is royal intermarriage, which is achieved by marrying one's princesses to other factions, yet, to quote the game manual, "[p]rincesses are only available to Catholic and Orthodox factions. There are no Muslim princesses in *Medieval: Total War*."⁴¹ In the universe of this game, Muslim rulers are conceived as putting the value of patriarchal sexual possessiveness over the realpolitik gains of diplomatic alliances. Those who created the game and those who play it are likely unaware that in medieval Iberia, for example, there was significant intermarriage between Catholic and Muslim kingdoms, but the game's vision of civilizational differences is not the result of ignorance about historical situations.⁴² It is the result of a cultural belief

40 The story of Astyages as told by Herodotus may demonstrate the extent to which endogamy was seen as natural and exogamy was seen as extreme, perhaps even ruinous. The Median Emperor dreamt that his daughter Mandane's child would overthrow him and his empire and so he chose to marry his daughter not to a Mede, but to a Persian. He later conspired to have the child killed, but his order was not carried out (Herodotus, *The histories* 1.107–1.112). That child grew up to become Cyrus the Great (c. 559–530 BC) and to fulfill the prophecy.

41 *Medieval: Total war*, Game manual 18. The same limitation on princesses was upheld in the sequel *Medieval II: Total war* (2006): "Princesses cannot attempt to marry Generals from an Islamic faction (Egypt, Turks, Moors) or factions that do not have princesses." Game manual 35.

42 "Intermarriage between Muslim and Christian Dynasties," an entry by Mohamad Ballan on his excellent blog Ballandalus, translates and summarizes a portion of Saḥar al-Sayyid 'Abd al-'Aziz Sālim, *al-Jawānib al-jābiyyah wa-l-salbiyyah*.

that cannot be shaken by academic history. Here, as everywhere, trope trumps truth. But what does this oft-repeated and resilient trope tell us about the story of Zayd ibn ‘Adī’s dishonest revenge?

In a celebrated analysis of *Othello* entitled “Improvisation and Power,” Stephen Greenblatt repurposes Daniel Lerner’s conception of empathy to show that “[...] the Europeans’ ability again and again to insinuate themselves into the preexisting political, religious, even psychic, structures of the natives and to turn those structures to their advantage” was “essential” for the imposition of European hegemony in the New World in the early modern period.⁴³ Greenblatt calls this skill improvisation, that is:⁴⁴

[...] the ability to both capitalize on the unforeseen and transform given materials into one’s own scenario. The “spur of the moment” quality of improvisation is not as critical here as the opportunistic grasp of that which seems fixed and established.

One thing that the different versions of the story of Zayd ibn ‘Adī’s revenge against al-Nu‘mān agree on is that Zayd had no specific plan for taking revenge against the man who ordered his father’s death. Indeed it was not Zayd who brought up the subject of marriage to the Persian emperor, rather he happened on the discussion as it was taking place and only then was he able to improvise a plan that he hoped would bring al-Nu‘mān and the Persian emperor into conflict.⁴⁵ It is not simply that the authors of this revenge narrative failed to illustrate Zayd’s psychology. Nor can we say that, having failed to devise a plan for revenge, Zayd’s success was owed entirely to dumb luck. Rather Zayd accomplished the challenge as he met it, through an act of improvisation, which is arguably more difficult to execute than a calculated plan. Yet the improvisational faculty was only available to Zayd, who—like his father and other Ḥīran “agents of exchange”—occupied a liminal role in both courts, as Arab secretary to a Persian prince, an imperial dragoman, who had a unique insight into the psyches of both al-Nu‘mān and his Persian overlord.⁴⁶ It seems that missions such as the ones that Zayd and Tristan were sent on were prime

43 Greenblatt, *Improvisation and power* 60.

44 Greenblatt, *Improvisation and power* 60.

45 In al-Nuwayrī’s telling of the story (*Nihāyat al-arab* xv, 326–30), however, it may have been Zayd that instigated this conversation.

46 I have borrowed the term agents of exchange from Toral-Niehoff, *Late Antique Iran* 120–2.

opportunities for improvisation.⁴⁷ Zayd's betrayal was all the more wicked for exploiting the confidence that only a courtier can enjoy. As Greenblatt explains:⁴⁸

If improvisation is made possible by the subversive perception of another's truth as an ideological construct, that construct must at the same time be grasped in terms that bear a certain structural resemblance to one's own set of beliefs. An ideology that is perceived as entirely alien would permit no point of histrionic entry: it could be destroyed but not performed.

Only Zayd could have engineered the conflict without any indication of pre-cognition. Only he understood how to provoke the pair's incompatible twin vices—a Persian emperor's sexual greed and an Arab king's sexual possessiveness. Zayd's treason succeeded because, like all good stories, it was built on tropes.

Bibliography

- Abu Lughod, L. and M. Mikdashi, Tradition and the anti-politics machine: DAM seduced by the 'honor crime' in *Jadaliyya* <http://www.jadaliyya.com/pages/index/8578/tradition-and-the-anti-politics-machine_dam-seduce>, 23 November 2012.
- Ahlwardt, W. (ed.), *The divans of the six ancient Arabic poets*, London 1870.
- Bal'amī, *Tārīkh-i Bal'amī*, ed. M.T. Bahār, 2nd ed., 4 vols, Tehran 1974.
- Ballan, M., Intermarriage between Muslim and Christian dynasties in early medieval Iberia (711–1100) in *Ballandalus* <<http://ballandalus.wordpress.com/2015/08/04/intermarriage-between-muslim-and-christian-dynasties-in-early-medieval-iberia-711-1100/>>.
- Canım İstanbul*, Interview with "Mustang" Director Deniz Gamze Ergüven in *Canım İstanbul* <<http://canimistanbul.com/blog/en/interview-deniz-gamze-erguven>>, 21 October 2015.

47 It was not always emissaries who took advantage of the situation to write reality in their favor. When Ibn al-Jaṣṣāṣ, the governor of Egypt's representative, was sent to arrange a marriage between the governor's daughter and the son of the Abbasid caliph al-Mu'taḍid bi-llāh (r. 892–902), he must have been surprised when the caliph decided arbitrarily that he would marry the girl himself (al-Ṭabarī, *History* xxxviii, 2–3).

48 Greenblatt, *Improvisation and power* 62.

- Carr, S., Sexual assault and the state: A history of violence? in *Mada Masr* <<http://www.madamasr.com/sections/politics/sexual-assault-and-state-history-violence>>, 7 July 2014.
- Cohen, S.J.D., Solomon and the daughter of Pharaoh: Inter-marriage, conversion, and the impurity of women, in *Journal of the ancient Near Eastern society* 16–17 (1984–5), 23–37.
- Ergüven, Deniz Gamze (dir.), *Mustang*, 2015 [film].
- El-Shamy, H.M., *Types of folktales in the Arab world*, Bloomington 2004.
- Fisher, G. and P. Wood, Writing the history of the “Persian Arabs”: The pre-Islamic perspective on the “Naşrids” of al-Ḥīrah, in *Iranian Studies* 49 (2016), 247–90.
- Garfunkel and Oates, “The loophole,” *Secretions*, 2015 [song].
- Greenblatt, S., Improvisation and power, in E. Said (ed.), *Literature and society: Selected papers from the English institute, 1978*, Baltimore 1980, 57–99.
- Hamori, A., Going down in Style: The pseudo-Ibn Qutayba’s story of the fall of the Barmakīs, in *Princeton papers in Near Eastern studies* 3 (1994), 89–125.
- Hava, J.G., *al-Farā’id al-durriyyah: Arabic-English dictionary*, Beirut 1915.
- Herodotus, *The histories*, trans. by A.D. Godley, Cambridge 1920.
- Howard-Johnston, J., Ḳosrow II, in *EIR*.
- Ibn al-Athīr, *al-Kāmil fī l-tārīkh*, 12 vols, Beirut 1965 [repr. of Tornberg edition, Leiden 1862–76].
- Ibn al-Athīr, *The annals of the Saljuq Turks. Selections from al-Kāmil fī l-Ta’rīkh of ‘Izz al-Dīn Ibn al-Athīr*, ed. and trans. D.S. Richards, London 2002.
- Ibn Dāwūd al-Iṣfahānī al-Zāhirī, *Kitāb az-Zahrah. Parte seconda (Capitoli LI–LV)*, ed. Michele Vallaro, Naples 1985.
- al-Iṣbahānī [al-Iṣfahānī], *Kitāb al-Aghānī*, Cairo 1927–.
- Jacobi, R., ‘Udhri, in *EI*².
- Jönsson, C., Diplomatic signaling in the Amarna letters, in R. Cohen and R. Westbrook (eds.), *Amarna diplomacy. The beginnings of international relations*, Baltimore 2002, 191–204.
- Khader, J., The invisible link: Honor killing and global capitalism, in *Jadaliyya* <<http://www.jadaliyya.com/pages/index/9675/the-invisible-link-honor-killing-and-global-capita>>, 21 January 2013.
- Kruk, R., A Barmecide feast: The downfall of the Barmakids in popular imagination, in Y. Suleiman (ed.), *Living Islamic history: Studies in honor of professor Carole Hillenbrand*, Edinburgh 2010, 92–106.
- Landau-Tasserou, E., Dū Qār, in *EIR*.
- Leder, S., The literary use of the *khavar*: A basic form of historical writing, in A. Cameron and L.I. Conrad (eds.), *The Byzantine and early Islamic Near East, I: Problems in the literary source material*, Princeton, 1992, 277–315.
- Makdisi, G., The marriage of Ṭughril Beg, in *IJMES* 1:3 (1970), 259–70.

- al-Mas'ūdī, *Murūj al-dhahab wa-ma'ādīn al-jawhar*, ed. C. Pellat, Beirut 1965–66.
- Medieval: Total war*, The Creative Assembly and Activision 2002 [video game].
- Medieval II: Total war*, The Creative Assembly and Sega 2006 [video game].
- Meisami, J.S., Mas'ūdī on love and the fall of the Barmakids, in *JRAS* 2 (1989), 252–77.
- Meisami, J.S., *Persian historiography to the end of the twelfth century*, Edinburgh 1999.
- al-Nuwayrī, *Nihāyat al-arab fī funūn al-adab*, Cairo 1923.
- Pellat, C., Abū Dahbal al-Djumaḥī, in *EI*².
- Powers, D.S., *Studies in Qur'an and Ḥadīth: The formation of the Islamic law of inheritance*, Berkeley 1986.
- Powers, D.S., Demonizing Zenobia: The legend of al-Zabbā' in Islamic sources, in R.E. Margariti, A. Sabra, and P. Sijpesteijn (eds.), *Histories of the Middle East: Studies in Middle Eastern society, economy and law in honor of A.L. Udovitch*, Leiden 2010, 127–82.
- Rainey, A.F., *The El-Amarna correspondence. A new edition of the cuneiform letters from the site of El-Amarna based on collations of all extant tablets*, 2 vols, ed. W. Schniedewind and Z. Cochavi-Rainey, Leiden 2015.
- Sālim, S., *al-Jawānīb al-ijābiyya wa-l-salbiyya fī l-zawāh al-mukhtalaṭ fī l-Andalus. Dirāsa siyāsiyya adabiyya wa-ijtimā'iyya* in, M. Hammam (ed.), *al-Gharb al-Islāmī wa-l-gharb al-Masīḥī khilāla l-qurūn al-wustā*, Rabat 1995.
- Sourdel, D., *Le vizirat abbaside*, Damascus 1959.
- Sperl, S. and C. Shackle (eds.), *Qasida poetry in Islamic Asia and Africa. II: Eulogy's bounty, meaning's abundance, an anthology*, Leiden 1996.
- Tabachnik, J. and A. Klein, *A reasoned approach: Reshaping sex offender policy to prevent child sexual abuse*, Beaverton 2011.
- al-Ṭabarī, *The History of al-Ṭabarī, V: The Sāsānids, the Byzantines, the Lakhmids, and Yemen*, trans. C.E. Bosworth; *XXI: The Victory of the Marwānids*, trans. M. Fishbein; *XXX: The 'Abbāsīd Caliphate in Equilibrium*, trans. C.E. Bosworth; *XXXVII: The Return of the Caliphate to Baghdad*, trans. F. Rosenthal, Albany, NY 1985–99.
- Talib, A., Topoi and topography in the histories of al-Ḥīra, in P. Wood (ed.), *History and identity in the late antique Near East*, New York 2013, 123–47.
- Taylor, J., The third intermediate period (1069–664 bc), in I. Shaw (ed.), *The Oxford history of Ancient Egypt*, Oxford 2000, 324–63.
- Toral-Niehoff, I., Late antique Iran and the Arabs: The case of al-Ḥīra, in *Journal of Persianate Studies* 6 (2013), 115–26.
- Toral-Niehoff, I., *Al-Ḥīra. Eine arabische Kulturmetropole im spätantiken Kontext*, Leiden 2014.